Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/888,824	PERRIER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Hanley	1651
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .	(3) <u>Anne Murphy</u> .	
(2) <u>Jean Witz</u> .	(4) Gerard Portal.	
Date of Interview: 25 January 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 69-94.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Cook</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) ⊠ was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 112 first paragraph rejection regarding claims 87-92 was discussed and clarified. Claim language was discussed to more clearly identify the nature of the assay. Applicant will consider the proposals and submit amended claims in the next reply.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		11 1
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required